FEATURES OF THE LINGUISTIC CONTEXT IN THE FORMATION OF MEANING

Azimova Sayyora Khusanboevna
PhD in philological sciences, Senior Lecturer
Fergana State University

ABSTRACT

In this article, we will delve into the essential components of the linguistic context that contribute to the formation of meaning. By understanding the structure and form of language, we can comprehend how these features interact to give rise to nuanced interpretations. The examination of linguistic context is crucial for language learners, communicators, and researchers, as it sheds light on the intricacies of meaning creation.

Key words: language, context, semantic, connotation, grammatical structures, pragmatics, meaning, lexical choices.

The formation of meaning in language is a complex and intricate process influenced by various linguistic contexts. This article explores the crucial role played by linguistic contexts in shaping the meaning of words, phrases, and sentences. By examining different features of the linguistic context, such as lexical, grammatical, and situational contexts, this article aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how meaning emerges within a given linguistic context. The analysis also highlights the interplay between linguistic context and individual interpretation, emphasizing the dynamic nature of meaning construction. By exploring these features, we can enhance our understanding of language and its significance in communication.

Language is a fundamental tool for communication, and meaning lies at its core. However, meaning is not an inherent property of words alone; it is derived from the complex interplay between linguistic elements and the context in which they are used. The linguistic context comprises various factors that influence the interpretation and formation of meaning. Language is a powerful tool that allows us to convey and interpret meaning. The way in which meaning is formed and understood is greatly influenced by the linguistic context in which it is expressed.

Lexical Choices. The words chosen in a particular context play a pivotal role in shaping the intended meaning. The semantic content and connotations associated with specific words can influence the overall interpretation of a message. For example, using "thrifty" instead of "cheap" may convey a positive connotation of frugality, altering the overall perception of a person's spending habits.

Sentence Structure: The arrangement of words in a sentence significantly impacts the meaning conveyed. Grammatical structures, such as subject-verb-object order or the use of passive voice, can affect emphasis, clarity, and even the interpretation of intent. For instance, consider the difference between "John loves Mary" and "Mary is loved by John." The former emphasizes John's feelings, while the latter shifts the focus to Mary's receiving of love.

Contextual Cues. The linguistic context is not limited to individual words or sentences but extends to the broader situational and cultural factors surrounding communication. Contextual cues, such as gestures, tone of voice, facial expressions, and shared knowledge, all contribute to the formation of meaning. For instance, the phrase "It's hot in here" could have different implications depending on whether it is uttered in a sauna or an air-conditioned room.

Pragmatics. Pragmatics refers to the study of how context influences the interpretation of language. It considers factors such as the speaker's intention, the listener's expectations, and the social norms governing communication. Pragmatic understanding allows us to grasp implied meanings, sarcasm, politeness, and other non-literal aspects of language, which are often essential for effective communication.

Situational Context. The situational context refers to the physical and social environment in which communication takes place. It includes factors such as the participants involved, their roles, the setting, and the shared knowledge between them. Situational context helps to disambiguate words and phrases that may have multiple meanings. For example, the word "bark" could refer to the sound a dog makes or the protective outer layer of a tree. The situational context clarifies the intended meaning by considering the participants' knowledge and the specific situation.

Cultural Context. Cultural context plays a significant role in meaning formation. Language is deeply intertwined with culture, and cultural knowledge and beliefs shape the meanings attributed to words and phrases. Cultural references, idioms, and metaphors often carry additional layers of meaning that are specific to a particular community or culture. Understanding the cultural context is crucial for effective communication, as it ensures that the intended meaning is conveyed accurately.

Individual Interpretation. While linguistic context provides a framework for meaning, individual interpretation also plays a vital role. People bring their own experiences, knowledge, and perspectives to the process of understanding language. Consequently, two individuals may interpret the same linguistic context differently. Individual interpretation adds subjectivity and variability to the formation of meaning, highlighting the dynamic nature of language.

The formation of meaning in language is a complex process influenced by various features of the linguistic context. The lexical, grammatical, situational, and cultural

contexts all contribute to the construction of meaning, providing a framework for interpretation. However, individual interpretation also plays a significant role, adding subjectivity and diversity to meaning formation. Understanding the features of the linguistic context enhances our comprehension of language and enables more effective communication. By acknowledging the dynamic nature of meaning, we can navigate the complexities of language and foster better understanding in our interactions. The features of the linguistic context, including lexical choices, sentence structure, contextual cues, and pragmatics, all contribute to the formation of meaning in communication. Understanding these features allows us to comprehend the nuances of language and avoid misinterpretations. Language learners can enhance their proficiency by recognizing how context shapes meaning, while communicators can strive for clarity and precision. Additionally, researchers gain insights into the complex dynamics of language and the role context plays in shaping our understanding of messages. By appreciating the significance of the linguistic context, we can foster more effective and meaningful communication in various social and cultural contexts.

REFERENCES:

1. Austin, J. L. (1962). How to Do Things with Words. Clarendon Press.

2. Fillmore, C. J. (1982). Frame semantics. Linguistics Society of Korea Journal, 20, 1-29.

3. Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and Semantics, Vol. 3: Speech Acts (pp. 41-58). Academic Press.

4. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). Metaphors We Live By. University of Chicago Press.

5. Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1986). Relevance: Communication and Cognition. Blackwell.

6. Xusanboevna, S. A. (2021). Linguopragmatic Properties of Language. International Journal of Discoveries and Innovations in Applied Sciences, 1(4), 10-12.

7. Азимова, С. Х. (2020). НУТҚ АКТЛАРИ ВА КОММУНИКАТИВ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЯ:"РАД ЖАВОБИНИ БИЛДИРУВЧИ" ИЛЛОКАТЦИОН ҲАРАКАТНИ ПРАГМАТИК ТАҲЛИЛ ҚИЛИШ. МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА, 3(5).

8. Xusanboyevna, A. S. (2020, June). ROLE OF THE THEORY OF SPEECH ACTS IN THE FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF LINGUISTIC PRAGMATICS. In Archive of Conferences (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 43-45).

9. Xusanboyevna, A. S. (2021, April). PRAGMATICS AND LINGVOPRAGMATIC FEATURES OF SPEECH. In Archive of Conferences (Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 181-183).

10. Xusanboyevna, A. S. (2020, June). LINGUOPRAGMATICS AND THE MAIN CATEGORIES OF LINGUOPRAGMATICS. In Archive of Conferences (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 40-42).

11. Xusanboyevna, A. S. (2020, June). LINGUO-PRAGMATIC FEATURES OF SPEECH ACTS "EXPRESSION OF REFUSAL" IN RUSSIAN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. In Archive of Conferences (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 37-39).

12. Азимова, С. Х. (2022). Прагматический Анализ Иллокутивного Акта «Выражение Отказов». Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 3(11), 276-282.

14. Азимова, С. (2021). Linguopragmatic features of speech acts" expression of refusals" in Russian and Uzbek languages. Общество и инновации, 2(4/S), 776-781.

15. Ravshanovna, G. N. (2022, April). THE STUDY OF THE MEANING OF THE WORD TOLERANCE IN OTHER LANGUAGES. In INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE (Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 8-9).

16. Ravshanovna, G. N. (2022). TOLERANCE IN PRIMARY SCHOOL STUDENTS PEDAGOGICAL MODEL OF SKILLS DEVELOPMENT. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 10(2), 95-96.

17. Ravshanovna, G. N., & Anvarovna, Y. D. (2022, May). INGLIZ VA OZBEK TILLARIDAGI TURIZMDAGI ATAMALARNING LINGVOKULTUROLOGIK JIHATLARI. In INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE (Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 14-17).